Pseudomyxoma peritonei as an intractable disease and its preoperative assessment to help improve prognosis after surgery: A review of the literature
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1. Introduction

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is a rare and intractable disease. In 1884, Werth coined the term "pseudomyxoma peritonei" (1), which is characterized by excessive mucinous ascites and mucinous peritoneal implants, leading to progressive obliteration of the peritoneal cavity and intestinal obstruction (2,3). The accumulation of mucinous ascites and mucin-secreting epithelial nodules within the peritoneal cavity commonly results from the intraabdominal spread of invasive or non-invasive appendiceal tumors, and occasionally mucinous tumors at other sites, such as the colon (4,5) and ovaries (6-8) are responsible (9). PMP has frequently been classified as benign because it is almost noninvasive since it causes few lymphatic metastases and no hematogenic dissemination. However, the behavior of PMP over time suggests that it should be considered a borderline malignant condition with inevitable disease progression and a final terminal outcome. Recently, PMP has been referred to as a syndrome because of its different pathological types (10,11).

The clinical course of PMP is dictated by the volume of extracellular mucin that has accumulated and the degree of epithelial cellular atypia. Although PMP is less malignant and has a long clinical course, a radical resection is difficult and its prognosis is poor.

Because of its rarity, the incidence of PMP has yet to be fully determined. At present, there are no precise international data on PMP incidence, though an estimate is approximately 2 per 10,000 laparotomies or one per million population per year, with women mostly affected (2 to 3 times more frequently than men) (12-17). According to national data based on population, the annual incidence of PMP is 1,500 cases in the United States of America (USA) (18) and approximately 27 cases or 1.7 to 2 per million per year in the Netherlands (12,15). The incidence in Asia is about one per million per year and is presumed to be about a quarter of that in USA (19) (the estimated incidence of PMP is shown in Figure 1).

The treatment of PMP has yet to be firmly...
established. Surgery is predominantly performed using the Sugarbaker procedure, but this procedure is controversial and not accepted globally. Clinicians lack a sufficient understanding of PMP and its surgical treatment in China. This review seeks to investigate surgery to treat PMP with a particular focus on its preoperative assessment.

2. Preoperative assessment: Diagnosis including pathologic classification and staging of PMP

Diagnosis, especially early diagnosis, is important to the success of surgery and prognosis for patients with PMP. Although rare, PMP covers a vast spectrum and has caused confusion over its identification and many errors in its diagnosis. The clinical manifestations of PMP are poorly defined due to few reports of large samples. Most patients are diagnosed during or after a laparotomy or laparoscopy for suspected appendicitis, peritonitis, or gynecological cancer. Wang et al. reported that patients in China are often misdiagnosed as having a malignant ovarian tumor, tuberculous peritonitis, or ascites resulting from liver cirrhosis; the misdiagnosis rate ranges from 18.8-100% according to the literature (20-25).

2.1. Diagnosis with imaging assessment

Imaging assessment is crucial to initial diagnosis. A CT scan is considered to be optimal for diagnosing PMP. (26). However, the diagnostic procedure often used first is ultrasonography. Typical ultrasound findings are non-mobile, echogenic ascites with multiple semisolid masses and scalloping of the hepatic and splenic margins (27). The results of a CT scan are sometimes pathognomonic findings that are considered to be highly suggestive of PMP (28). The most common findings on a CT scan are a large volume of mucinous ascites with the density of fat that displace the small bowel and the normal mesenteric fat. Other characteristic findings are omental thickening, multiseptate lesions, scalloping of organs, and curvilinear calcifications (27-30).

2.2. Pathologic diagnosis and pathologic classification

The pathologic classification of PMP is also key to surgical assessment and prognosis. There is considerable variability in the pathologic criteria and terminology used by different pathologists. For lesions with the same morphology, the diagnosis may be "a ruptured mucinous adenoma of the appendix and PMP" or "a ruptured mucinous adenoma of the appendix and PMP" according to different pathologists, especially in China. Most pathologists lack sufficient understanding of the pathologic classification of PMP (21,31,32). Ronnett et al. (33) proposed that the pathology of PMP be separated into 3 categories: i) low-grade tumors as disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis (DPAM), ii) high-grade tumors as peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis (PMCA), and iii) peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis with intermediate or discordant features (PMCA-I/D). They reported that patients in these categories had survival rates that differed significantly, and results of long-term follow-up indicated significant differences in prognosis for DPAM and PMCA (34). Given these findings, therapeutic approaches can be rationally considered based on homogeneous pathologic entities. Findings also suggested that tumor tissue should be subjected to CK20 and CK7 immunohistochemistry. CK20 is a cytokeratin and intestinal tumor marker while CK7 is also a cytokeratin and marker of gynecological malignancies (35). The pathologic classification of PMP described thus far is gaining global acceptance (36).

2.3. Staging of PMP according to the peritoneal cancer index score

Another preoperative assessment that relates to treatment and prognosis is the staging of PMP. The peritoneal cancer index (PCI) scoring system is recommended for the staging of PMP. PCI has been used to assess the extent of the peritoneal spread of intraabdominal and intrapelvic malignant tumors. PCI provides valuable information about the exact distribution of seeding and tumor volume, representing in detail the extent of the peritoneal spread (37-39). PCI can help to determine treatment regimens and prognosis. PCI scoring is as follows (37): first, one of the thirteen abdominal regions should be scored. If there are no tumor nodules, the score is 0; if the largest tumor nodule is up to 0.5 cm in size, the score is 1; if
the largest tumor nodule is up to 5 cm, the score is 2; and if the largest tumor nodule is larger than 5 cm or tumors converge, the score is 3. Second, the scores for all thirteen regions are added together to yield the PCI score. Lower PCI scores are generally associated with a better prognosis and a greater likelihood of successful cytoreduction surgery. In some cases, a patient may have undergone surgery without intraperitoneal chemotherapy prior to cytoreduction surgery and intraoperative chemotherapy. The prior surgical score (PSS) gives a number value to surgeries done prior to the attempt at debulking/peritoneal chemotherapy treatment. However, Tentes et al. found that PSS was not related to survival for patients with PMP (37).

2.4. Serum tumor makers

In addition to clinical manifestations, imaging assessment, pathologic classification, and staging, several serum tumor markers, such as carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA), cancer antigen 125 (CA125), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), are also recommended to help diagnose PMP. The prognostic value of these tumor markers in patients undergoing surgery has been evaluated. Baratti reported that, according to univariate analysis, normal preoperative CA125 correlated with the likelihood of successful surgery and that, according to multivariate analysis, elevated baseline CA19-9 was an independent predictor of shorter progression-free survival (40). Van Ruth et al. (41) reported that elevated CA19-9 after surgery or during follow-up was related to disease recurrence.

3. Surgery to treat PMP

Since PMP is rare and intractable, patients with PMP have a poor long-term survival without definitive treatment, with 5-year and 10-year survival rates of 50% and 10-30%, respectively (42). Currently, there is no globally accepted standard treatment for PMP.

3.1. Sugarbaker procedure

The most satisfactory and effective treatment for PMP is surgical cytoreduction. Conventional surgical cytoreduction involved several surgeries until there were no further surgical options. Surgical debulking and appendectomy are widely regarded as the primary treatments for PMP. Sugarbaker developed and encouraged a complex approach involving cytoreduction and intraoperative hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy since the 1980s. A 5-year survival rate of 86% has been reported for some patients (43). Currently, the optimal therapy is complete macroscopic tumor removal (complete cytoreduction) combined with heated intraoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Known as the Sugarbaker procedure, this treatment is considered to be the standard of care for PMP due to a perforated tumor in the appendix particularly in USA and Europe. In cases where complete cytoreduction cannot be achieved, maximal tumor debulking can be utilized (44-49).

While the Sugarbaker procedure has been adopted globally and is considered the optimal treatment for PMP, an optimal or standard treatment for PMP has yet to be established in China. There are few reported cases of Chinese patients undergoing complete cytoreduction combined with heated intraoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy, and some clinicians complained of the lack of a unified standard for radical surgery (3,5,50). Kojimahara and Kitai et al. reported that radical cytoreduction and heated intraoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy are not widely used in Japan. Given the risks of treatment, the procedure should ideally be performed at a referral center or at least by an experienced surgeon. An optimal or standard treatment for PMP has yet to be established in Japan (51,52).

3.2. Sugarbaker procedure and its association with the CC score, morbidity, and mortality

Details on the Sugarbaker procedure (53-55) are shown in Figure 2. Intraoperative hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy is used in combination with cytoreduction surgery to kill microscopic cells released into the peritoneal cavity from tumors during surgery or to kill cells released into the abdomen in cases of appendiceal rupture. Immediate assessment at the end of the surgery using the Completeness of Cytoreduction (CC) score (Table 1) is recommended. This scoring is crucial to assess prognosis. Given the complexity of the procedure, its morbidity and mortality are considerable. Major morbidity is considered to include anastomotic leakage, enteric and
pancreatic fistulation, pneumonia, thromboembolism, and intra-abdominal abscesses (21). Mortality rates range between 0% and 14% (56). However, Youssef et al. (57) recently reported that the Sugarbaker procedure can be performed with a mortality rate below 2% and excellent long-term outcomes can be achieved in specialized units.

4. Patient eligibility for the Sugarbaker procedure

The Sugarbaker procedure has a relatively high morbidity and mortality, so many clinicians wonder about the patient benefits of the Sugarbaker procedure. The benefits of this procedure must be evaluated in terms of the risks involved. According to a report (58) by Akshat Saxena et al., patients who were < 80 years old, with good performance status (World Health Performance Status B2), and adequate hematological, hepatic, cardiac, and liver function were eligible. Patients with extra-abdominal metastasis were ineligible. They also found that patients with an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade of 3 or higher were significantly more likely to have severe complications and that perioperative mortality was significantly associated with a peritoneal cancer index (PCI) > 24. In detail, the ASA grade is as follows: a normal healthy patient is regarded as ASA grade 1, a patient with mild or severe systemic disease is regarded as ASA grade 2 or 3, a patient with an incapacitating systemic disease that is a constant threat to life is regarded as ASA grade 4, and a moribund patient who is not expected to survive for 24 hours without the operation is regarded as ASA grade 5 (59). Sugarbaker (53) reported that asymptomatic patients with a small volume of peritoneal surface malignancies must be selected for combined treatment.

5. Discussion and prospects for the future

PMP is a rare syndrome but affects a larger number of patients. However, the international incidence of PMP remains unclear. The data are estimates, and few studies have examined the incidence of PMP in large populations, especially in China. The PubMed database and Chinese CNKI database revealed few studies at multiple centers or with large samples of Chinese patients. Therefore, a specific and specialized website should be created to collect information on and determine the incidence of PMP, and this website can help to educate doctors in China. A peritoneal malignancy treatment center should also be established in China to help treat PMP and rare and intractable conditions like it (57,60).

PMP is not only rare but also intractable, which is the cause of its poor prognosis. The treatment of PMP is controversial and lacking in hard scientific evidence. Such evidence is unlikely ever to be available due to the rare heterogeneity of the disease (61). Although controversial, the Sugarbaker procedure is gaining internationally acceptance as the standard treatment for PMP. Mortality associated with the Sugarbaker procedure can reportedly be reduced to less than 2% and excellent long-term outcomes can be achieved in specialized units (57), representing substantial progress in the treatment of PMP. The outcomes of initial surgery are significantly related to prognosis, so the preoperative assessment of PMP in relation to surgical outcomes should be comprehensively and carefully considered.

First, PMP should be diagnosed early. Since PMP involves unspecified clinical manifestations and ultrasonography is frequently performed as the initial diagnostic procedure, sonographers should be informed about PMP. Screening for serum tumor markers such as CEA, CA125, and CA19-9 is also suggested for early diagnosis. Surgeons and general physicians who diagnosis patients with "a malignant mucocele or malignant mucocele with peritoneal dissemination" must promptly refer those patients to a specialist (57). If these patients are promptly referred, surgery is less extensive, morbidity and mortality rates will be lower and hospital stays will be shorter, and long-term results will improve.

Second, preoperative pathologic classification of PMP must be precise and definite. However, the definition of PMP has been a source of much confusion, with different reports including patients with ovarian, colon and other primary tumors, in addition to appendical tumors (62-64). Thus, the pathologic description and classification of PMP is unclear. Most Chinese pathologists lack a sufficient understanding of the pathologic classification of PMP,

### Table 1. Completeness of Cytoreduction (CC) Score (Ref. 53)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Completeness of Cytoreduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC-0</td>
<td>All tumors are removed during cytoreduction surgery, and there is no visible cancer in the abdomen at the completion of the surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-1</td>
<td>Tumor nodules remain in the abdomen or pelvis after surgery but are less than 2.5 mm in size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-2</td>
<td>Tumor nodules remain in the abdomen or pelvis and are between 2.5 mm and 2.5 cm in size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-3</td>
<td>Tumor nodules greater than 2.5 cm or a confluence (merging) of non-removable tumor nodules remain at any site in the abdomen or pelvis after surgery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
so PMP must be described according to a unified pathologic descriptive terminology. The currently accepted pathologic classification is the 3-category classification, i.e. DPAM/PMCA/PMCA-I/D, proposed by Ronnett et al. (33). This pathologic classification of PMP is significantly related to the treatment and prognosis of PMP, so it should be utilized in the pathologic classification of PMP. CK20 and CK7 immunohistochemistry should also be utilized. The question then is how to reach a preoperative pathologic diagnosis. A CT or ultrasound-guided biopsy or a laparoscopic examination and biopsy should be performed on patients suspected of having PMP.

The third point is the staging of PMP in accordance with the preoperative peritoneal cancer index (PCI). PCI is a clinical integration of both peritoneal implant size and distribution of nodules on the peritoneal surface. It is crucial to prognosis and also helpful in determining which patients with PMP are eligible for surgery. It should be used in the decision-making process as the abdomen is completely explored, but can the PCI be determined noninvasively before surgery? Is a CT PCI possible? Studies have unanimously concluded that CT sensitivity increases markedly with larger implants (26,65). The results of a study by Jacquet et al. are comparable to other studies: 28% for nodules < 0.5 cm in diameter compared to 90% for ones > 5 cm (65). Additionally, de Bree et al. found that CT rather inaccurately represented the actual size of peritoneal nodules (66). A CT scan's ability to detect peritoneal implants is influenced by lesion size and CT PCI significantly underestimates the clinical PCI. The mean operative PCI is nearly double that approximated by CT. A reasonable approach may be for patients with a preoperative CT PCI > 15 to be considered ineligible for combined treatment because their clinical PCI may be much higher (67). Thus, the preoperative PCI should be determined by laparotomy just before the Sugarbaker procedure or laparoscopy.

In conclusion, PMP is a rare and intractable entity. Special attention should be paid to its preoperative assessment, including early diagnosis, pathologic classification, and peritoneal cancer index. Proposed here are steps for managing PMP that include preoperative assessment (Figure 3). Currently, PMP is treated with complete cytoreduction combined with heated intraoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy (Sugarbaker procedure) as a widely accepted and even standard curative treatment in Europe and the US. In Asia, however, this treatment is not as widely accepted as conventional surgery. If patients are appropriately selected, the Sugarbaker procedure can provide excellent long-term outcomes. In the absence of animal models or randomized controlled trials, further efforts should be made to obtain evidence and improve treatment outcomes for this challenging, though rare condition.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Fund for Young Scholars of China (81000177, Yuesi Zhong) and supported in part by the Japan-China Medical Association.

References


34. Ronnett BM, Yan H, Kurman RJ, Shmookler BM, Wu L, Sugarbaker PH. Patients with pseudomyxoma peritonei associated with disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis have a significantly more favorable prognosis than patients with peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis. Cancer. 2001; 92:85-91.


41. Van Ruth S, Hart AA, Bonfrer JM, Verwaal VJ, Zoetmulder FA. Prognostic value of baseline and serial carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19.9 measurements in patients with pseudomyxoma peritonei treated with cytoreduction and hyperthermic...

(Received July 3, 2012; Revised July 29, 2012; Accepted August 1, 2012)